

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,230	MCGOWAN, STEVEN B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Eisen	2674	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Eisen. (3) _____.

(2) Rhonda Sheldon. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner acknowledges that the previous Office action with restriction requirements was sent in error since the amendment filed on 9/14/2005 cancelling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive. The restriction requirement will be withdrawn, and the applicant will be given a time period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the following this paper official notice to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment.